

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/078,677	02/21/2002	Didier Branellec	03804.0111-01	5864
22852 7	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID	
LLP 1300 I STREE	Γ, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20005		1632	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>			
		BRANELLEC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/078,677 Examin r	Art Unit	•			
<i></i>		1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Scott D. Priebe		ess			
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comrecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	lugust 2003 .					
, <u> </u>	is action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 36,37 and 39 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,37 and 39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ansiaritu undar 25 l	I.C.C. S. 110(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 C	.5.C. 9 119(a)-(a) or (i).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n hava haan raasiy	a d				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/633,769</u></li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application	has been received.				
Attachment(s)	o priority arraor oo t	5.5.5. 33 120 GHG/OF 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- her:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 8/29/03 has been entered. Claims 38 and 40-44 have been cancelled. Claims 36, 37 and 39 have been amended. The rejections under 35 USC 112, 102 and 101 (double-patenting) have been overcome by the amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 36, 37 and 39 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohno et al. (Science 265: 781-784, Aug. 5, 1994) in view of either Steg et al. (Circulation 88(4 pt. 2): I-660, 1993) or Steg et al. (Circulation 90: 1648-1656, Oct. 1994) for the reasons of record set forth in the Office action of 3/10/03.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to make the combination because there would have been no expectation of success, since claims to specific methods of using the claimed products had been allowed (US 6,410,011) over Ohno and Steg I for this reason, and because of the double-patenting rejection over the '011 patent as evidence that the claimed product is not patentably distinct from the patented method.

In response, the claims are not directed to the specific patented method of using the instantly claimed product, but to a product which could could be used in that method, and also could have been used with success in the method of Ohno, for the reasons provided by Steg I. As for the double-patenting rejection, only one-way distinctness is required, i.e. the instantly

claimed product is obvious over the patented method of using the product. Whether the method is patentably distinct over the product is moot.

No arguments have been presented with respect to the combination of Ohno and Steg II.

Applicant has requested that the rejection be held in abeyance pending their providing a translation of the foreign priority application. The translation has not been received at this time and the rejection cannot be held in abeyance.

### **Double Patenting**

Claims 36, 37, and 39 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,410,011 for the reasons of record set forth in the Office action of 3/10/03.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has indicated that a terminal disclaimer will be filed once allowable subject matter has been indicated. It is presumed that Applicant means "otherwise" allowable, since until an acceptable terminal disclaimed is filed, these claims will remain not allowable.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/078,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe whose telephone number is (703) 308-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on 703 305-4051. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner

Srott D. Tricke

Art Unit 1632